

UNITED STATE' EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

_						
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
_	09/654,018	09/01/00	DE POUS	0	VAL0829P0013	

QM01/0531

ROCKEY MILNAMOW & KATZ LT TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE SUITE 4700 CHICAGO IL 60601 EXAMINER BUECHNER, P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

05/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

9,4

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
v	Office Action Summary	09/654,018	DE POUS ET AL.					
4		Examiner	Art Unit					
•		Patrick M Buechner	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 S</u>	September 2000						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 27-30 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>30</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	o by the Examiner.						
11)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.							
12)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachmer	ut(s)							
16) 🔲 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	iry (PTO-413) Paper I Patent Application (

Application/Control Number: 09/654,018

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 9/1/2000 fails to provide a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. Examiner has made all reasonable attempts to obtain copies of the prior art listed and, when appropriate, consider those references. However, not all the documents listed were found, as the original parent application 08/311041 has been misplaced. The IDS has been placed in the application file, but some documents referred to therein have not been considered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 30 depends from claim 1, which has been cancelled. It appears as though Claim 30 should depend from claim 27, and has been examined in accordingly. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/654,018

Art Unit: 3754

4. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haber et al., USPN 5,303,835.

Haber et al. disclose a sealing cap, 2 Figure 3, which is an annular ring, with a bottom portion, 30 Figure 3, having a plurality of snap fastening tabs, and a top portion, 62 Figure 3, the top portion having a through hole, 6 Figure 3. Haber et al. also disclose the bottom portion having an exterior peripheral surface, Figure 3, and the top portion having "guide wall" elements, 60 Figure 4, vertically parallel to the central longitudinal axis of the cap and substantially in line with the exterior peripheral surface of the bottom portion.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 27-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,799,810.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 2 and 9 of USPN 5,799,810 disclose a fixing ring having a top portion and a bottom portion, column 11 line 4, the bottom portion having snap-fastening structure, column 11

Art Unit: 3754

lines 5-7, in the form of snap fastening tabs, "plurality of circumferentially arranged" column 11 lines 18-19, and a guide wall defined by an annular crown, column 12 line 1. That the fixing ring disclosed by USPN 5,799,810 is one element of a connecting structure is irrelevant, the fixing ring of the instant application is fully disclosed.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. De Pous et al., USPN's 5,562,219 and 6,186,359.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick M Buechner whose telephone number is (703) 308-2602. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7766 for regular communications and (703) 308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

5/29/01

PR

May 29, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700